

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,866	03/23/2004		Ted M. Dean	Tru Vision-002	5509
21897	7590	05/10/2005		EXAMINER	
THE MATT		RM	AHMAD, NASSER		
2000 BERIN SUITE 700	G DRIVE			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	HOUSTON, TX 77057			1772	L -

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/807,866	DEAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nasser Ahmad	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	arch 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-21 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a merchandising strip, classified in class 428, subclass 40.1.
 - Claims 14-19, drawn to a method of manufacturing a merchandising strip, classified in class 156, subclass 250.
 - III. Claims 20-21, drawn to in a method for marketing small discrete packages, classified in class 156, subclass 265.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process such as laminating directly the spaced apart adhesive elements along the length of the strip, thereby avoiding the cutting steps.
- 3. Inventions Group I and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Art Unit: 1772

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process such as wrapping the strip around a package as a binding strip.

- 4. Invention group II and Group III are distinct and independent inventions, and are capable of supporting independent applications.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with William E. Johnson on April 26, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1772

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1-4, 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Repaci (6109582).

Repaci relates to a merchandising strip (10) for displaying a plurality of discrete packages (1). The strip comprises an elongated narrow strip of plastic (col. 5, lines 24-25) having a longitudinal axis (figure-1) and a plurality of adhesive elements (27) laminated to said plastic strip, said adhesive elements being aligned along a longitudinal axis of said strip, and having a predetermined spacing between each two of said elements (figure-1). The strip material is Mylar (col. 5, lines 24-25) which is known to be clear plastic. The adhesive elements have rectangular configuration (figure-1)the strip has a hole (19) located near one end (15) thereof. As shown in figure-7, the flexible strip has a first coated side with silicone release paper (38) and a second uncoated side. In claim 3, the phrase "to be easily rolled up and unrolled" has not been given patentable weight because said phrase directed to an intended future use of the product claimed and hence, is not found to be of positive limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1772

11. Claims 5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Repaci.

Repaci, as discussed above, fails to teach the different shape of the adhesive elements. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Repaci by providing the adhesive elements to have shapes such as circular, triangular, pentagonal, oval, star, etc. for aesthetic appeal because it only requires a mere change in the shape of the element. A change in shape is generally recognized to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dailey,* 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976).

12. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Repaci. Repaci, as discussed above, fails to teach the presence of a second hole at the other end of the strip. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the strip with a second hole at the other end thereof for permitting the strip to be secured at both ends to a surface such as a wall, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*,193 USPQ 8.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. May 2, 2005.